


2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

E. Cavazza : An Update on the Development of Mine Drainage Treatability and Project Selection Guidelines for SMCRA Title IV Funded Projects

1A-Cavazza

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 17 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 81% | 70% | 85% | 88% |
| Neutral (3) | | 15% | 22% | 11% | 12% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 4% | 7% | 4% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.1 |



COMMENTS


1. Not much visual, no pics
2. Powerpoint difficult to see/read
3. Presentation was interesting

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

N. Wolfe : Optimizing Oxidic Limestone-based AMD Treatment

1B-Wolfe

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 12 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| Total Responses | | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 88% | 82% | 94% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 6% | 18% | 6% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 6% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.3 |



COMMENTS

1. Good presenter, fun, interesting





2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

D. Sammarco, A. Dehoff : Interbasin Transfer of Minepool Water

1C-Sammarco

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 79% | 80% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 21% | 13% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 7% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS





1. Good

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

R. Beam , B. Braverman : The Kalp and Melcroft AMD Abatement Projects

| 1D-Beam | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Responses | | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 86% | 86% | 100% | 86% |
| Neutral (3) | | 14% | 14% | 0% | 14% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. Pretty interesting

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

B. Means : AMD Treatability

| 1E-Means | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 10 |
| Total Responses | | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 92% | 84% | 96% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 8% | 12% | 4% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 4% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS

1. Very good & very well presented

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Dietz : Activated Iron Solids and Aeration Approaches for AMD Treatment

| 1F-Dietz | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 79% | 71% | 93% | 86% |
| Neutral (3) | | 21% | 14% | 0% | 14% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 14% | 7% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS

1. Excellent
2. Good info, but not much was relevant to higher flow systems like I work with

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Pizarchik : ABS Forfeiture Site Discharges: Treatment Opportunities and Challenges

1G-Pizarchick

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 71% | 79% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 14% | 14% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 14% | 7% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS


1. This program was not happening in our area (e. pa)
2. Very informative, good

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

M. Crittenden : In-stream Limestone Dosing

1H-Crittendon

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 14 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Total Responses | | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 85% | 65% | 90% | 80% |
| Neutral (3) | | 10% | 35% | 5% | 5% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 5% | 0% | 5% | 15% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.7 |



COMMENTS


1. Good info, may or may not work for us
2. Presentation was good, but was a much lower level than expected, especially after listening to Jon Dietz

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

D. Johnson, D. Hamilton : ARRI at Jennings Environmental Center

1I-Johnson / Hamilton

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Total Responses | | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 85% | 85% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 8% | 8% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 8% | 8% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.5 |







COMMENTS

1. Good visuals, neat stuff
2. Great class, truly did not expect such a good class
3. Informative, interesting, engaging, both parts very enjoyable

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

W. Burgos : Low-pH Fe(II) Oxidation Can Improve Passive Treatment of AMD

| 1J-Burgos | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 82% | 76% | 88% | 71% |
| Neutral (3) | | 18% | 12% | 6% | 18% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 12% | 6% | 12% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. Good presentation I'm sure for those who know far more about chemistry than I, but still picked up a few good points
2. Technical, but very interesting (when I understood, anyway)
3. Very technical, but I'm afraid it has to be
4. Very technical, lots of graphs

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

S. Wilson : SMCRA Title IV: Public Comments and DEP Response

1K-Wilson

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Total Responses | | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 93% | 67% | 87% | 86% |
| Neutral (3) | | 7% | 20% | 13% | 14% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 13% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.0 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS


1. Unclear if comments/ideas to influence policy-Could have been another "official" input

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

M. Strager : Watershed Model for Evaluating AMD Treatment Scenarios

1L-Strager

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 10 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Responses | | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 69% | 50% | 81% | 81% |
| Neutral (3) | | 31% | 38% | 19% | 13% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 13% | 0% | 6% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.9 |




COMMENTS

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

A. Deal, L. Lichvar : The Stonycreek River Watershed Reassessment Project

1M-Deal / Lichvar

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 75% | 88% | 88% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 25% | 13% | 13% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.8 |



COMMENTS


1. Excellent
2. Nice pics, like the field work pics

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

R Hornberger, B. Leavitt : Mine Pool Mapping

1N-Hornberger / Leavitt

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Total Responses | | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 82% | 71% | 82% | 76% |
| Neutral (3) | | 18% | 24% | 18% | 18% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 6% | 0% | 6% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.1 |



COMMENTS


1. Awesome
2. First section somewhat dull, wish they'd talked more about application of the created maps, second half rushed
3. Very interesting
4. Yay, EPCAMR

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

P. Milavec : Operations & Maintenance of AMD Treatment Systems

10-Milavec

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 100% | 93% | 93% | 86% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 7% | 7% | 14% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 |



COMMENTS





1. Didn't seem like an update, nothing new
2. Good info, but mostly covered the basics which we're already aware of , good presenter

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

M. Dunn : The Watershed Model is Working for AMR

| 2A-Dunn | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 18 |
| Total Responses | | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 86% | 89% | 96% | 93% |
| Neutral (3) | | 11% | 7% | 0% | 4% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.5 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS


1. Amazing presentation, amazing lady, really inspiring
2. An amazing woman and amazing projects, I want to move to the Pittsburgh area now
3. Excellent speaker
4. Needed by us, excellent

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

T. Schmidt : Synopsis of Innovative AMR Materials and Technologies

2B-Schmidt

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 10 |
| Total Responses | | 24 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 83% | 72% | 92% | 88% |
| Neutral (3) | | 13% | 28% | 4% | 8% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 4% | 0% | 4% | 4% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 |



COMMENTS





1. Excellent speaker
2. Good information on various materials to try
3. Not as much info as I expected, handout would have helped
4. Simple, interesting, thorough, helpful, kept a narrow scope, specific and good, didn't get over ambitious

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

T. Kerr : Sponsor Presentation: HDR, Inc.

2C-Kerr

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Responses | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 60% | 20% | 40% | 40% |
| Neutral (3) | | 20% | 20% | 40% | 60% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 20% | 60% | 20% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.4 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| | |  |  |  |  |





COMMENTS

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

R. Herd, T. Petty : Optimizing Resources for Restoring AMD Impaired Watersheds in West Virginia

2D-Herd / Petty

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Total Responses | | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 61% | 39% | 55% | 74% |
| Neutral (3) | | 39% | 43% | 27% | 22% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 17% | 18% | 4% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 |

COMMENTS

1. A lot of just explaining charts and reading off slides, first speaker not very dynamic, extremely dry, second speaker better, but I lost interest, too much text
2. First presenter difficult style of presentation, lots of bullet points, monotone voice, second good
3. Give Herd some coffee, great info, poor energy and presentation
4. Herd not so good, Petty ok
5. Herd seemed indifferent to topic, failed to present info in digestible form
6. Petty more interesting presenter than Herd





2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Kockler: Sponsor Presentation: Ionic Water Technologies, Inc.

2E-Kockler

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Responses | | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 83% | 83% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 17% | 17% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 |





| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

S. Smith : The Industrial Legacy of Wales – from Blight to Asset

| 2F-Smith | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 11 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 8 | 19 | 23 | 17 |
| Total Responses | | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 74% | 94% | 97% | 90% |
| Neutral (3) | | 23% | 6% | 3% | 10% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 3% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.5 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS


1. Interesting and different information on how our problems compare to other countries
2. Interesting perspective
3. Pleasant presenter, interesting presentation, powerpoint of pics, not text, hurray
4. Top notch
5. Very interesting, thank you

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Dougherty : Why Pennsylvania’s Coal Story of the 1920s is Important to Us Today

3G-Dougherty

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 57% | 93% | 85% | 82% |
| Neutral (3) | | 43% | 7% | 8% | 18% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 8% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |







COMMENTS

1. Good overview of the period, would have enjoyed more explicit descriptions of the connections to today
2. Good topic
3. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal
4. Needed supporting visuals
5. The ties between the two different time periods were weak, should explain events in more detail so he can get his point across better
6. Would have liked a visual aid

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

R. Lighty : Pennsylvania’s Historical Annual Mining Reports, 1870-Present

| 2H-Lighty | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| Total Responses | | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 67% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 22% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 11% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. Fascinating presentation of some cutting edge work
2. Very interesting

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

T. Tsukamoto : Overviews of Two Advanced AMD Treatment Technologies: a Semi-passive Sulfate Reducing Bioreactor and the Rotating Cylinder Treatment System™

2I-Tsukamoto

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Total Responses | | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

P.Geissler: PowerPuch Writing Workshop

2J-Geissler

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Responses | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 100% | 67% | 67% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 33% | 33% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS





1. Difficulty understanding Pete, pace of program was too slow, topic meandered and had loose focus

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Enman : Pennsylvania Patch Towns

| 2K-Enman | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 10 |
| Total Responses | | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 70% | 70% | 65% | 85% |
| Neutral (3) | | 20% | 15% | 20% | 10% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 10% | 15% | 15% | 5% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS





1. Could have been more engaging, but very informative
2. He spoke fast
3. Style of presenting difficult to follow or focus on, not quite what I expected, but he sounds like a good educated guy
4. Title somewhat misleading, did not specify that focus was bituminous towns

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Quaranta : Coal Impoundment Location and Warning System

2L-Quaranta

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Total Responses | | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 33% | 75% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 33% | 25% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 33% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 |





| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J.D. McAteer : The Monongah Disaster and Mine Safety History

| 2M-McAteer | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 50% | 100% | 100% | 83% |
| Neutral (3) | | 42% | 0% | 0% | 17% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 8% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. Excellent
2. Interesting slides


2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

C. Trumann, C. Webster, S. Lyons : ACCWT in the Anthracite Region

2N-Truman/Webster/Lyons

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Responses | | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 83% | 100% | 83% | 80% |
| Neutral (3) | | 17% | 0% | 17% | 20% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 |



COMMENTS





2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

M. Auktakalnis : Anthracite Region Tourist Mine Trio

20-Auktakalnis

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Total Responses | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 56% | 78% | 78% | 78% |
| Neutral (3) | | 33% | 11% | 22% | 22% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 11% | 11% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS


1. Mike was awesome

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

D. Freudenberger : The Anthracite Heritage Alliance (AHA)

2P-Freudenberger

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Total Responses | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 89% | 78% | 89% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 11% | 11% | 11% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 11% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 |







COMMENTS

1. Go Dale
2. Really liked the pictures at the end

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

Chester Kulesa : The Anthracite Museum Complex

| 3A-Kulesa | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 12 |
| Total Responses | | 17 | 18 | 18 | 17 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 71% | 94% | 94% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 24% | 6% | 6% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 6% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. All these topics are issues that are not that important to my organization, but they are important and interesting on their own
2. Great powerpoint
3. Interesting
4. Much financial help, silk industry interesting
5. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal
6. Should have talked a little more about the founding of the museum
7. Want to visit this area

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Tobal : History in the Classroom

| 3B-Tobal | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 93% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 7% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS

1. Excellent speaker
2. One of the most entertaining and informative on heritage preservation
3. Rambled a bit, took a while to get to the point, hoped for more how to methods than anecdotes, but very entertaining, really good examples
4. Very well done
5. We need this at Lake Lehman
6. Without funding, great job for a high school teacher
7. Wonderful

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

I. Marcus : An American Tragedy: The Response of the Federal Government to the Coal Mining Disasters of Dec. 07

| 3C-Marcus | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Total Responses | | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 63% | 100% | 100% | 88% |
| Neutral (3) | | 38% | 0% | 0% | 13% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.1 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS


1. All these topics are issues that are not that important to my organization, but they are important and interesting on their own
2. Great speaker, organized, good explanation
3. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

E. Bella : Anthracite Living History Group & Avondale Mine Site Historic Preservation Efforts

3D-Bella

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| Total Responses | | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 77% | 100% | 92% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 15% | 0% | 8% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 8% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.8 |







COMMENTS

1. A very fine job for such a young man
2. All these topics are issues that are not that important to my organization, but they are important and interesting on their own
3. Awesome
4. Excellent
5. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal
6. Nicely done
7. Very impressive, fascinating stuff

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

E. Ricketts : Climbing Jacob’s Ladder: The Coal Mine Disasters of December 1907 in Historical Perspective

| 3E-Ricketts | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 4 |
| Total Responses | | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 89% | 100% | 100% | 89% |
| Neutral (3) | | 11% | 0% | 0% | 11% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. A very informative, well organized, and clearly presented talk
2. Effective use of clarifying asides
3. Great paper, would have liked a visual also
4. Nice combination of stories and facts

2008 Abandoned Mine Reclamation & Coal Mining Heritage Conference

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

R. Morgan : Broadtop Coal Museum Center

3F-Morgan

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 89% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 11% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS


1. Very good

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

J. Dougherty : Why Pennsylvania’s Coal Story of the 1920s is Important to Us Today

3G-Dougherty

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Total Responses | | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 57% | 93% | 85% | 82% |
| Neutral (3) | | 43% | 7% | 8% | 18% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 8% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |



COMMENTS


1. Good overview of the period, would have enjoyed more explicit descriptions of the connections to today
2. Good topic
3. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal
4. Needed supporting visuals
5. The ties between the two different time periods were weak, should explain events in more detail so he can get his point across better
6. Would have liked a visual aid

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

S. Lichak : The Artwork & Life of Frank Wyso

3H-Lichak

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 7 |
| Total Responses | | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 80% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 10% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 10% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 |







COMMENTS

1. Excellent
2. Great info
3. Great, heartfelt and informative
4. Very, very good, like the art

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

L. Metz : Transportation in the Anthracite Region

| 3I-Metz | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Total Responses | | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 83% | 100% | 92% | 83% |
| Neutral (3) | | 17% | 0% | 8% | 17% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS


1. Absolutely horrifying the environmental conditions that were depicted, excellent presentation
2. Loved the film footage
3. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal
4. That film was amazing

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

W. Taylor : Connecting Youth to Pennsylvania's Coal Heritage

3J-Taylor

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Total Responses | | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 92% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 8% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |







COMMENTS

1. Can't wait to use his ideas
2. Excellent presentation
3. Fantastic, really outstanding, definitely most fun of conference
4. Good
5. Great, much needed
6. More time with Will
7. Very interesting and fun

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

M. Beik : Autocracy and Resistance in Windber, PA

| 3K-Beik | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 |
| Total Responses | | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 83% | 100% | 100% | 83% |
| Neutral (3) | | 17% | 0% | 0% | 17% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|





COMMENTS

1. Informative and well organized presentation about an important topic
2. Ms. Beik was an excellent presenter, really great historical presentation
3. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

P. Seighman, E. DeFrank : Coal & Coke Heritage Center

| 3L-Sieghman / DeFrank | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| Total Responses | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 100% | 90% | 100% | 100% |
| Neutral (3) | | 0% | 10% | 0% | 0% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.8 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|

COMMENTS

1. First presenter was much better, second speaker got me lost
2. Good
3. Learned a lot
4. Very interesting, good human aspect of history

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

D. Lembeck : Post Office “Local Heritage Artwork”

| 3M-Lembeck | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| Rating | # | | | | |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Total Responses | | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 33% | 75% | 88% | 86% |
| Neutral (3) | | 50% | 25% | 13% | 14% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 17% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

COMMENTS

1. Good images


2. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal

Presentation Evaluation & Comments:

E. Hovanec : Mining & Coking in Perspective

3N-Hovnaec

| | | Addressed Important Issue of My Organization | Met Needs & Expectations | Information Conveyed Well | Information at Proper Level |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rating | # | Count | Count | Count | Count |
| <i>Strongly Disagree</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Disagree</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Neutral</i> | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Agree</i> | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| <i>Strongly Agree</i> | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| Total Responses | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Favored (4 & 5) | | 78% | 89% | 89% | 89% |
| Neutral (3) | | 22% | 11% | 11% | 11% |
| Disfavored (1 & 2) | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0) | | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 |



COMMENTS

1. Extremely thorough and insightful, brilliant lecture
2. Good
3. Info too focused on one area, too much information
4. My assignment of 3 for all historical presentations is because I work for state regulatory agency and this stuff is not directly relevant to my daily work, however, I absolutely loved it on a personal
5. Strong voice, an asset
6. Very informative and thought provoking